



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address Only: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 5/5/80

Serial No. 2411

Applicant Tachi et al

Examiner conducting the interview Robert

Telephonic

Type:  Personal (copy is given to applicant)

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, attorney, agent) present representing applicant:

(1) George A. Lord, (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No.

Agreement  was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question.

was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: All the art of record.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

No agreement was made. It was pointed out that EIK's "591" did not show substance alleged in Office Action. Never-the-less Examiner feels that art of record shows concept of invention and there are no new and unobvious results. There is a possibility that we may re-open for purposes of adding B1. Pat. 1,202,001 and EIK's 3,312,590

(A fuller necessary description and any available copy of amendments that the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, or where no copy of the amendments is available, a summary thereof, is attached.)

It is not necessary for applicant to supplement the information on this form or to submit a separate record of the substance of the interview.

APPLICANTS, ATTORNEYS AND AGENTS ARE REMINDED OF THEIR RESPONSIBILITY TO SUPPLEMENT THIS RECORD WITH AN INDICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW AS REQUIRED BY 37 CFR 1.133 (b) AND SECTION 713.04 OF THE MANUAL OF PATENT EXAMINING PROCEDURE. (See reverse side for text of Section 713.04.)